

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginis 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/086,157 02/27/2002		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Nissim Garti	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2652
10/086,157				1662/56302	
26646	7590	05/27/2003	,		
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY				EXAMINER	
NEW YORK, NY 10004				FORD, JOHN M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1624	17)
				DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003	70

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	TA :: ::						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ti earl				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Tan	CO SE VI				
	TODIT	and 1	Group Art Unit				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the corre	spondence address –				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE THREE	_ MONTH(S) FI	ROM THE MAILING DATE				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a less than thirty (30) days, a reply less than thirty (30) days, a reply less than thirty (30) days, a reply less than the less than thirty (30) days, a reply received by the less than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ply within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ite, cause the application to ing date of this communicati	mum of thirty (30) dans the mailing date of become ABANDO ion, even if timely, n	ays will be considered timely. of this communication.				
Status	- 24	24 - 7					
Status  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3 pm 7-5	10.05					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>			•				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.</li> </ul>	or formal matters, prose C.D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to th	ne merits is closed in				
The ' are the second se			7.0				
Disposition of Claims  A-Claim(s) $116$ , $2631$ , $42$	61,6711	is/om mand					
Of the above claim(s)							
□ Claim(s)			lrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s) -16, 26-31, 4261, 6	7-71, and?	7	ed. ed				
□ Claim(s)	is/are objec						
□ Claim(s)		_	to restriction or election				
Application Papers		requirement					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 approved 🗆	disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).							
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:							
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received							
in this national stage application from the International Bi	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	1					
*Certified copies not received:			·				
ttachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		rview Summary,	PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notic	ce of Informal Pa	atent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R vi w, PTO-948							
Office Action Summary							
extent and Implement Office							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ : U.S. GPO: 2000-472-999/43204

Application/Control Number: 10/086,157

Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

The response of 4/21-03 was followed by a supplemental response of 4-30-03.

We are now up to claim 153.

Consider claims 151-153. Lamotrigine need be recited as an IUPAC, the USPTO records are kept in the IUPAC system, not by common name. Claims need be complete within themselves, it is not proper to refer to Fig. 15, within(a) claim. Form Q for tells the reader nothing in claim 149 or claim 144. Try combining claims 149-153 in the same claim, with all the data. Claims 150 and 151 appear contradictory. Both recite the supposed peaks, but they are different. The form of claims 124-153 are rejected, as noted in the discussion above. No one knows in claim 124 what form E is. Claims 125 and 126 say different things, both said to be X-ray powder diffraction patterns for Form E, yet contradictory. Applicants need to use IUPAC names rather than Lamotrigine the same compound could be in the records, but under different name. Try combining the information of claims 124--128 in the same claim, with all the data.

Claims 124--153 are, therefore, not clear, and do not rise to the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, IUPAC names must be used to search the records. What crystalline form is being claimed? Some crystalline Forms are known.

What is the structure of the solvate claimed?

In regard to claims 92 and 93 one has no way of knowing that is not in the prior art.

Inherent; 35 U.S.C. 102.

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 117--123 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph as one has no way of knowing what is being prepared. In claim 117, is the lamotrigine solvate being heated part of the prior art. What is the source of the starting materials. What is the starting material?

If the subject of this application is reg# 84057-84-1, the claims do not appear to distinguish therefrom. No forms, different form what is known, are established. No one claim adequately describes a new crystalline form, or is persuasive that he form does not exist in the prior art.

Claims 2--16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph. It would require combining claims 2--6 in one claim to begin to set forth what form B is . Claims /-- /16, are rejected for the reasons noted in the previous Office Action.

Page 4, last paragraph of the most recent response appears to indicate Form E1 was canceled, but claim 26 is still present. Form E1 is still in claims 129--133.

Claim 26 is dependent on canceled claim 22.

Claims 27--31 would have to be combined into one claim to <u>begin</u> to describe a crystalline form, but is it new?

Same, with form K, L, N, N, P, R, S, U, what are they? Are they not in the prior art?

Is the lamotrigine anchylose of claims 94--116, known? What is being prepared form what?

Claims 1--16, 26--31, 42--61, 67--71, 77-93 and 124--153 are said to be different crystalline forms of something called lamotrigine, said to a known compound. No additional

Application/Control Number: 10/086,157

Art Unit: 1624

property is seen alleged. No physical melting point difference is seen alleged. No utility variation is seen alleged. No reason is seen established to not believe that the forms alleged are not

inherent in the known material. Accordingly, these claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 over

the known material. Where is the statutory new, useful and unobvious? What is known? Are

these forms stable on long standing? What industrial applicability do these form have, that the

compounds that are known do not have. Generally when something is allowed it is better than

the prior art at something. Is that present here? The new alleged material, minimally must be

established as different. That is not seen to have been done here. (350050101)

What is the structure of what is being claimed? If it no different than the know it compound, how have applicants brought into existence anything different that what is known?

A <u>detailed</u> product-by-process claim, with X-ray diffraction data in one claim for each form is suggested.

No reference to a drawing will be permitted in a claim. Words can describe were the peaks are.

IUPAC names are necessary to know what other compounds of the same structure are known, Common names are not acceptable. The USPTO uses IUPAC names to catalog the prior art.

John M. Ford:jmr

May 22, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER

-ARTUNIT/624

Page 4